Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document2452 Filed03/17/14 Page1 of 4

BAKER BOTTS LLP

THE WARNER ABU DHA
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW AUSTIN
WASHINGTON, D.C. BEIJING
20004-2400 BRUSSEL

BEIJING BRUSSELS DALLAS DUBAL LONDON MOSCOW NEW YORK PALO ALTO RIO DE JANEIRO

HOUSTON

TEL +1 202.639.7700 FAX +1 202.639.7890

BakerBotts.com

HONG KONG RIYADH

WASHINGTON

March 12, 2014

John M. Taladay TEL: 202.639.7909 FAX: 202.639.1165 john.taladay@bakerbotts.com

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL & FEDEX OVERNIGHT

Hon. Vaughn R. Walker (Ret.) c/o Mr. Jay Weil Federal Arbitration, Inc. 288 Hamilton Avenue, 3rd Floor Palo Alto, California 94301 Jay.weil@fedarb.com

Re: In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 07-cv-5944-SC (N.D. Cal.)

Dear Judge Walker:

We write on behalf of the appearing Philips, Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., LG Electronics, Samsung SDI, Toshiba Corp., Panasonic, and MTPD defendants ("Defendants") regarding the Direct Action Plaintiffs' ("Plaintiffs") Statement of Decision letter to you, dated March 4, 2014. In that letter, Plaintiffs bring to your attention the decision in *Vichi v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics, N.V., et al.*, C.A. No. 2578-VCP, slip op. (Del. Ch. Feb. 18, 2014) ("*Vichi* decision").

Defendants respectfully submit that the *Vichi* decision does not alter the legal analysis applicable here. In *Vichi*, the plaintiff made a request to the EC for its decision via a request for international assistance from the court. *See Vichi* Decision at 36. For reasons not explained in the *Vichi* decision, the EC found it appropriate in that case *not* to make an unredacted version of its decision available, but to make available a highly redacted version of one section of the decision. *See id.* at 36–37; *see also* Eric Van Ginderachter's Mar. 5, 2013 Ltr. to Jolling K. de Pree (enclosed). The EC may assess such requests on a case-by-case basis. *See* Defs.' Feb. 28, 2014 Ltr. at 2 n.3 & Ex. G. Plaintiffs in this case, however, have made no such request. Moreover, here, the EC has sent a letter to Defendants in this case expressly opposing disclosure of its confidential decision, including to Plaintiffs. *See id.*, Ex. D. Accordingly, the *Vichi* decision provides no basis for this Court to disregard comity and override that express instruction.

March 12, 2014 Page 2

Sincerely,

John M. Taladay

cc: Defense counsel of record Class counsel of record California Attorney General



Brussels 0 5 MARS 2013 COMP/G-5/JK/ib D*2013/021302

Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.

Via:

De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek N.V. Att: Mr. Jolling K. de Pree P.O. Box 75084 1070 AB Amsterdam Claude Debussylaan 80 The Netherlands

By Email

Subject: Case AT. 39437 – TV and Monitor Computer Tubes

Dear Mr. de Pree,

As you are aware, the European Commission ('Commission') and it's services have received a "request for international assistance in a civil or commercial matter" from the Delaware Court of Chancery ('Court') concerning the matter of Carlo Vichi v Koninklijke Philips Electronics, N.V., L.G. Philips Displays Finance LLC and LG Philips Displays International Ltd, dated 28 December 2012 ('Request'). The Request relates to the Commission's decision of 5 December 2012 in the above referred case ('Decision').

In the Request, the Delaware Court of Chancery asks the Commission, to:

- "(1) provide an unredacted copy of the Decision to the Court for use by the Court and outside counsel of record in the Delaware action for the parties only, subject to the terms of the Protective Order and on an attorneys-eyes-only basis; or
- (2) authorize Philips to provide a copy of the Decision on the same terms; or
- (3) in the event the Commission is unable to produce or authorize Philips to produce, an unredacted copy of the Decision, produce or authorize Philips to produce, a redacted copy of the Decision, revealing those portions concerning Philips, LG, and LPD, or if the inclusion of LG would delay the production, revealing only those portions concerning Philips and LPD."

You are also aware that the Commission services are in the process of establishing a redacted version of the Decision for the purpose of its publication on the website of DG COMP. In the course of this exercise, the Commission services have already received the confidentiality claims and introduced all those to a provisional redacted version of section 6 of the Decision (Addressees) insofar as it relates to Philips, LG Electronics and LPD. Hence, all potentially confidential information has been removed from this section, save for information confidential to Philips.

The Commission services therefore consider it possible to authorize Philips to produce this provisional version (enclosed as Annex to this letter) to the Delaware Court as requested in point 3 of its Request, as this version does not contain any other potentially confidential information than that of Philips, with respect to which Philips is best placed to enter into any suitable arrangements with the Delaware Court as far as its protection is concerned.

In view of the above, the Commission services do not object to Philips producing the attached provisional redacted version of Section 6 of the Decision (Annex) to the Delaware Court. This position relates <u>only</u> to the provisional redacted version enclosed in Annex 1 and not to any other part of the Decision.

Please keep the Commission services promptly informed of any further developments as well as of Philip's actions in the Delaware Matter relating to any documents stemming from or submitted within the Commission's investigation in the above referenced case.

Please note, that the Commission services will transmit a copy of this letter (without the Annex) to the Delaware Court in response to its Request. Finally, I would like to emphasize that this letter should not be construed as being in support of any party in the proceedings before the Delaware Court.

Yours sincerely,

Eric VAN GINDERACHTER

Cinclere

Director

Annex: Redacted version of Section 6 of the Commission's decision in case AT. 39437 TV and Monitor Computer Tubes

Copy (letter only): Honorable Donald F. Parsons, Jr., Vice Chancellor of the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, U.S.A.